ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE **TOWARDS** ROAD **TRAFFIC OF STUDENTS** REGULATIONS **AMONG** HEALTH **SCIENCES COLLEGE IN ASEER REGION**

Yahia M. Al-Khaldi, MBBS, ABFM Joint Program of Family Medicine, Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia

هدف الدراسة: تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقييم معارف واتجاهات وممارسات طلاب كلية العلوم الصحية للبنين بأبها تجاه قو اعد و أنظمة المرور

طريقة البحث: تم القيام بهذه الدراسة في الفصل الدراسي الثاني من العام الأكاديمي 2002 م، بين طلاب كلية العلوم الصحية للبنين بمدينة أبها، جنوب غرب المملكة العربية السعودية ، وذلك باستخدام استبيان تم تصميمه لهذا الغرض ، وقد تم توزيع الاستبيان لجميع طلاب الكلية المتواجدين بواسطة وتحت الإشراف المباشر لرؤساء الأقسام بالكلية تضمن الاستبيان ثلاثة مجموعات من الأسئلة عن المعلومات الديموغرافية للطلاب وعن المعرفة بأنظمة المرور وكذلك التوجهات والممارسات المتعلقة بالسرعة وربط حزام الأمان وحوادث الطرق وأسبابها تم إدخال البيانات إلى حاسب آلى مزود ببرنامج إحصائي تم من خلاله القيام بالاختبارات الإحصائية المناسبة.

نتائج الدراسة: بلغ عدد الطلاب الذين شاركوا في هذه الدراسة (238 طالباً) من أصل (297 طالباً) أي بنسبة استجابة قدر ها (80%). وجد أن متوسط العمر هو (21 سنة)، كما أن 47% من الطلاب يقيمون في المدن، وجد أن 70% من الطلاب يملكون سيارات و 72% لديهم رخص قيادة. بلغ متوسط سرعة قيادة هؤلاء للسيارة داخل وخارج المدن (81 كم/ الساعة و 127 كم/ الساعة على التوالي). أفاد 54% من الطلاب بأنهم قد تعرضوا لحادث مروري سابق وأن 22 من هؤلاء قد تعرضوا للإصابة خلال هذه الحوادث، كما أن حوالي 13% منهم قد تم تنويمهم بالمستشفى مابين يومين وستة عشر يوماً ، وقد كان السبب الرئيسي لمعظم هذه الحوادث نتيجة السرعة الزائدة أفاد 18% من الطلاب بأنهم قد فقدوا أحد أفراد أسرهم بسبب الحوادث المرورية خلال العشر سنوات الماضية، حيث بلغ إجمالي من توفوا من أقاربهم 94 شخصاً. بالنسبة لمعرفة الطلاب عن الأنظمة المرورية ،فقد ذكر حوالي 75% منهم بأن لديهم معرفة متوسط أو عالية ، بالنسبة لأهمية ربط حزام الأمان فقد أشار 90% من الطلاب بأهمية ذلك. ، أفاد 75% من الطلاب بأنهم يجدون صعوبة في استخدام حزام الأمان خاصة النسيان .وجد في هذه الدراسة أن إلزامية استخدام حزام الأمان قد أدت إلى ارتفاع ربط حزام الأمان من 40% إلى 80%.

الخلاصة: وجد في هذه الدراسة أن نسبة كبيرة من الطلاب قد تعرضوا لحوادث مرورية نتيجة السرعة الزائدة رغم ارتفاع درجة المعرفة بأنظمة وتعليمات المرور ، كما أن إلزامية ربط حزام الأمان قد أدت إلى زيادة كبيرة في نسبة الالتزام باستخدامه، مع ذلك فقد واجه الطلاب بعض الصعوبات أثناء التطبيق لاسيما النسيان والتضجر مما يؤكد الاهتمام باستمر ار تكثيف التوعية الصحية وتطبيق أنظمة المرور بصفة مستمرة.

الكلمات المرجعية: اتجاهات، ممارسات، أنظمة المرور، الطلاب.

Objective: The objectives of this study were to assess the knowledge, attitudes and practices of male students at the Health Science College in Abha, towards road traffic regulations.

Material and Methods: This study was carried out during the second semester of the academic year 2002G among the students studying at the Health Science College for Boys in Abha, Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia. A questionnaire of 28 different questions was distributed to all available students and responded to under the direct supervision of the heads of the six departments of the college. The questionnaire consisted of three main parts; the first was about the sociodemographic and scientific data of the students; the second on the knowledge of road traffic regulations and the third dealt with attitudes and practice of driving and the use of seat belts.

Results: Two hundred thirty eight out of 297 students (80%) responded to the questionnaire in this study. The mean age of the participants was 21 years, 47% lived in cities, 70% and 72%

Correspondence to:

Dr. Yahia M. Al-Khaldi, Joint Program of Family Medicine, Aseer Region, P.O. Box 2653, Abha, Saudi Arabia E-mail: yahiammh@hotmail.com

had cars and driving licenses respectively. More than half of the students had been involved in road traffic accidents (RTAs), 22% of these had been injured in these RTAs and 13% admitted to hospital for an average of nine days. High speed was the main cause of their RTAs. The mean speed at which the students drove their cars within and outside the city boundaries were 81 KM/h and 127 KM/h respectively.

The degree of knowledge of road traffic regulation was moderate to high in more than 75% of the students, while more than 90% of them believed in the importance of the use of seat belts. More than 75% of the participants mentioned that they had problems with the use of seat belts, the most common of which were forgetfulness and anxiety.

Conclusion: This study revealed that many students had been involved in RTAs as a result of driving at high speed. Most of the students had good attitudes towards the use of seat belts. The rate of compliance to the use of seat belts increased with the legislation on its use. Continuing health education and the monitoring of compliance to road traffic regulations is necessary if the incidence of RTAs is to be reduced.

Key Words: Attitudes, Practice, Road Traffic Regulations, and Students.

INTRODUCTION

Road Traffic Accidents (RTA) form one of the leading causes of mortality and disability in Saudi Arabia.¹ According to the mortality report of the Ministry of Health issued in 1425H (2004), during the years of 1418-1422 H, the total number of deaths due to RTAs was 20269.2 The majority of the dead were Saudi males between the ages of 25-40 years.² Studies from the different regions of the Kingdom have shown that this health problem has increased.^{3,4} These studies discussed risk factors, incidence of RTA and stressed the importance of wearing seat belts in order to minimize the complications of this problem particularly among the young drivers, who formed the majority of those involved in RTAs.3,4 Although, the knowledge and attitudes of drivers towards road traffic regulations and safe driving have an important impact on RTA, few studies in Saudi Arabia have been conducted on this.^{5,6}

The objective of this study was to assess the knowledge, attitudes and practice of students of the Health Science College for Boys in Abha, Southwest Saudi Arabia as they relate to road traffic rules and RTAs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted during the second semester of the academic year 2002 among the students studying at the Health Science College for Boys in Abha, the capital city of the Aseer region, Southwest Saudi Arabia.

A questionnaire designed by the investigator was distributed to all the available students in the college through the heads of six different departments namely; Foundation, Nursing,

Laboratory, Anesthesia, Pharmacy and Dentistry. This was completed under the direct supervision of the heads of the departments who returned them to the investigator on the same day.

The questionnaire consisted of 28 questions. The first group of questions was on the sociodemographic data: (age, education level, specialty, residence and ownership of car), and knowledge of road traffic laws. The second group of questions dealth with the attitudes to the use of seat belts and the practice of using seat belts while driving.

Data entry and analysis were carried out using Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS). Student t-test and Chi-square test were used to test for significance when appropriate and (p-value was considered significant if it was less than 0.05).

RESULTS

The total number of the students studying in the Health Science College for Boys in Abha was 297 students. Two hundred and thirty-eight students (80%) responded to the questionnaire. Those who did not respond were absent on the day. Socio-demographic characteristics and past history of RTA among the students are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the students was 21 years, about one half of whom lived in the city (47%); more than one third were in the foundation year (35%), more than two thirds had cars (70.6%) and 72% had driving licenses.

More than one half of the students (54%) had been involved in RTAs; 22% out of these had been injured and 13% had been admitted into hospital for 9.3 days. More than 50% of them

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics and previous RTA related events of students under the current study (n=238)

	No. (%)
Age (year)	21.0 <u>+</u> 1.7
Place of residence:	
City	112 (47.0)
Village	79 (33.0)
Desert	5 (2.0)
Missing	42 (18.0)
Department:	
Foundation	83 (35.0)
Nursing	40 (17.0)
Anesthesia	16 (7.0)
Pharmacy	32 (13.0)
Dental	28 (12.0)
Laboratory	21 (9.0)
Mising	18 (8.0)
Own a car:	
Yes	168 (70.6)
No	70 (29.4)
Has a driving license:	
Yes	171 (72.0)
No	67 (28.0)
Exposure to previous RTA:	
Yes	128 (54.0)
1 RTA	50 (39.0)
2 RTAs	35 (27.4)
3 RTAs	27 (21.0)
> 3 RTAs	16 (12.5)
No	110 (46.0)
Injured in these RTAs:	
Yes	28/128 (22.0)
No	100/128 (78.0)
Admission to hospital:	
Yes	17/128 (13.3)
No	111/128 (86.7)
Average hospital stay (in days)	9.3 <u>+</u> 7.5
Reasons for past RTA:	
High speed	67/128 (52.0)
Overtaking another car	16/128 (12.5)
More than one reason	37/128 (28.9)
Others	31/128 (24.0)
Death in family resulting from RTA in	
past 10 years:	
Yes	42 (17.7)
No	196 (82.3)
Total number of deaths	94

indicated that speed was the main cause of the RTA.

Table 2 illustrates the knowledge, attitudes of students concerning RTA and other related issues. More than 50% of the students said that they had adequate knowledge of road traffic regulations and more than one third (36%) mentioned that high speed was the most important cause of RTAs.

When asked about the importance of the use of seat belts, about 90% were strongly convinced of its importance. However, on the reasons for using seat belts, 37% felt convinced of its use.

More than 85% reported that they had problems when their seat belts were fastened; more than half of the students thought that the use of seat belts reduced the disabilities caused by RTAs.

Table 3 shows the practice of students on seat belt use. The use of seat belts increased from 41%

Table 2: Knowledge and attitudes of students concerning road traffic regulations and RTAs

Knowledge	n=238
Degree of knowledge about road traffic	
regulation on laws:	
High	67 (28.0)
Moderate	138 (58.0)
Low	33 (14.0)
The most important reasons for RTA:	
High speed alone	85 (36.0)
Drivers' lack of awareness of traffic	12 (5.0)
regulations and laws alone	
Drivers' non-compliance with traffic rules	15 (6.0)
and regulations alone	24 (42.0)
All the above reasons	31 (13.0)
More than one reason	95 (40.0)
Attitudes	
Convinced about the importance of seat belts:	
Very strong	117 (49.0)
Strong	94 (40.0)
Weak/very weak	27 (11.0)
Insistence on passengers' use of seat belts:	
Always	20 (8.0)
Sometimes	49 (21.0)
Usually	40 (17.0)
Rarely/Never	119 (50.0)
Not respond	10 (4.0)
Reasons that make you use seat belt:	
I like to comply with regulations	25 (10.0)
I am convinced of importance of the use of	88 (37.0)
seat belt	50 (21 0)
Seat belt has become compulsory practice	50 (21.0)
It is civilized phenomenon More than one reason	11 (5.0)
No response	44 (18.5) 20 (8.4)
Difficulties you face when you use seat belt:	20 (8.4)
Restriction of movement	33 (14.0)
Anxiety	32 (13.5)
Forgetfulness	85 (36.0)
Others	31 (13.0)
No difficulties	34 (14.0)
No response	23 (9.7)
Effects of using the seat belt:	20 (>1.7)
Reduce the incidence of RTA	39 (16.4)
Reduce disabilities caused by RTA	131 (55.0)
Reduce rate and complications of RTA	12 (5.0)
Not effect on the rate of disabilities	30 (13.0)
No response	26 (11.0)
Reason for using seat belt:	
Drivers are afraid of punishment	149 (63.0)
Drivers are convinced of the importance of	18 (7.7)
using seat belt	
Positive impact of health education	29 (8.4)
conducted by traffic road departments	
More than one reason	28 (12.0)
No response	23 (10.0)

Table 3: Practice of students concerning road traffice regulations and RTAs

Practice	No. (%)
Usual driving speed:	
Speed inside the city (mean \pm sd)	$81 \pm 20 \text{ km/h}$
Speed outside the city	$127 \pm 25 \text{ km/h}$
Comply with seat belt use before its	
legislation:	
Always	20 (8.0)
Sometimes	44 (19.0)
Usually	29 (12.0)
Rarely/Never	145 (61.0)
Comply with seat belt use when driving	
your car inside city:	
Always	36 (15.0)
Sometimes	94 (40.0)
Usually	36 (15.0)
Rarely/Never	72 (30.0)
Comply with seat belt use when driving on	
the highway:	
Always	62 (26.0)
Sometimes	90 (38.0)
Usually	29 (12.0)
Rarely/Never	57 (24.0)
Comply with seat belt use when riding	
inside city:	20 (12.0)
Always	30 (13.0)
Sometimes	79 (33.0)
Usually	49 (21.0)
Rarely/Never	80 (33.0)
Comply with seat belt use when riding car	
in highways:	77 (22 0)
Always	77 (32.0)
Sometimes	62 (26.0)
Usually	30 (12.6) 69 (29.0)
Rarely/Never Comply with seat belt use after its	69 (29.0)
realization:	
Always	52 (22.0)
Sometimes	99 (42.0)
Usually	36 (15.0)
Rarely/Never	51 (21.0)
Action taken when you remember to fasten	31 (21.0)
seat belt:	
I Fasten it immediately	72 (31.0)
I try to slow down and then fasten it	56 (24.0)
I stop my car and then fasten it	20 (8.0)
I fasten it when any opportunity occurs	56 (24.0)
Not respond	34 (14.0)
1. (1. 1.1. ; .;	- : (1)

sd=standard deviation

to 79% after the legalisation came into force. However, it was found that students used their seat belts more frequently when driving on the highway (outside the cities) than when in the cities (town driving) (64% vs. 55%). On the use of seat belts as passengers within or outside the cities, the percentage fell to 46% and 58% respectively. When asked about what they would do if they forgot to fasten their seat belt, 31% responded that they would put them on

immediately, while 24% said they would slow down and put them on.

Statistical Analysis

Students who came from villages were found to drive faster than those living in cities (p=0.04). Students in the third level drove faster than those in second or first levels (p=0.006). Students who considered themselves more knowledgeable were found to drive faster than the others (p=0.002). Students who owned cars drove faster than those who had no cars (p=0.02).

DISCUSSION

Road traffic accidents (RTAs) are the result of many factors related to the car, driver, and the nature of the road. Though the car and the road contribute to some extent, driver errors remain the most significant factor in increasing or decreasing the rate of RTAs. As in any health problem, identification of the risk factors is essential, RTAs not excepted to preventing or reducing it. Attitudes and practice towards driving and the relevant road traffic regulation are the two significant contributing factors to RTAs in Saudi Arabia.

Most of the students had cars (70%); perhaps because most Saudi families have cars. Seventy two percent of the students had driving licenses, and this is because all the students were above the legal age for owning a driver's license in Saudi Arabia (18 years old or above).

More than half of the students had been involved in RTAs which had resulted in 28 of them being injured with 17 admissions for 9.3 days on the average. The main reasons for RTA was high speed (52%). These findings were similar to those reported by many other investigators. About 18% of the students mentioned that they had lost at least one relative in a RTA in the previous ten years. This means that as reported by Ansari et al, the Saudi society has a major problem with RTAs.

Most of the students considered themselves knowledgeable about road traffic regulations. However, in the absence of comprehensive test of this part in the study, this response has to be viewed with caution. Most of the students mentioned that high speed and the non-compliance of drivers to road traffic regulations were the most common reasons for RTAs. These findings were in accord with what had been reported in previous studies.⁶⁻⁸

Though good attitudes can play a major role in changing the behavior and practice of individuals, this is hardly enough. In this study, it was obvious that the students were convinced about the importance of the use of seat belts and its impact on the reduction of disability from RTAs. However, many students used seat belts because of their fear of punishment resulting from non-compliance. These facts indicate that the implementation two years ago of the legislation on the compulsory use of seat belts was a step in the right direction.

The use of seat belts increased among the students as a result of the legislation (39% before compared to 79% after). These findings are higher than those reported from USA, different provinces in Saudi Arabia and some gulf states.^{5,9-11}

There were some problems of attitude and practice in the compliance to this legislation. Since it was a new experience, more than 80% felt uneasy with the restriction of movement the seat belt imposed, were anxious or forgot to use it. As reported by Al-Gamdi, Bener and Shawan in three different studies these difficulties were expected. 5,6,9

Differences in the use of seat belts by drivers and passengers were evident. We found that more people used their seat belts when driving than when they were passengers, and compliance to the regulation was more when driving or riding on the highway than within town.

These findings could be due to a misunderstanding of the regulation on seat belts and failure to understand that the law applied to both drivers and passengers, and to long as well as short distances. This misunderstanding could be corrected by ensuring that the rule is enforced both in town and on the highways, and both drivers and passengers who did not comply without medical justification were penalized.

Although, all of the students agreed to the importance of the rule to fasten seat belts, many of them neglected to use their seat belts for one reason or another. Reaction to forgetfulness varied among of students. Some of them put the

belts on as soon as they remembered, some tried to slow down and put them on, while a few of the students stopped their cars to put them on. However, 24% of them put on the seat belts when they had the opportunity. Of course, the right thing to do is to stop the car completely at a layby and put on the seat belt in order to save lives.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed that many students had been involved in RTAs as a result of overspeeding. Most students knew the road traffic rules and understood the importance of the use of seat belts. The use of seat belts had increased after the legislation but there are still problems with compliance because of the negative attitudes and practice. This can only be addressed with aggressive enforcement of the regulation by the road traffic police.

REFERENCES

- Al-Tukhi MH. Road Traffic Accidents: Statistics and Data Comparing Gulf Countries and Riyadh Area. Saudi Medical Journal 1990;11(1):1-3.
- Saudi Arabia. Ministry of Health. Department of Statistics. Mortality Report of Ministry of Health Hospitals (1418-1422H). Riyadh ,14252H:177-190
- Badawi IA, Alakija W, Aziz MA. Road Traffic accidents In Asir Region, Saudi Arabia: Pattern and Prevention. Saudi Medical Journal 1995;16(3):257-60.
- Qayed MH. Epidemiology of road traffic accidents in Al-Ahssaa Governorate, Saudi Arabia. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal 1998; 4(8):513-9.
- Shawan S, Al-Arfaj A, Hegazi M, Al-Habdan I, Wsornu L. Voluntary usage of seat belts in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Medical Journal 1992;13(1):25-8.
- Al-Ghamdi KS. Behavior and practice of drivers towards road traffic safety at Naval Base in Jubail. Journal of Family and Community Medicine 1995; 2(2):41-8. (Arabic article).
- Ansari S, Akhdar F, Mandoorah M, Moutaery K. Causes and effects of road traffic accidents in Saudi Arabia. Public Health 2000;114(1): 37-9.
- Nofal FH, Saeed AA, AnokuteCC. Aetiological factors contributing to road traffic accidents in Riyadh city, Saudi Arabia. J R Soci Health 1996;116(5):304-11.
- Bener A, Arar E, Chemma MY. Injury Risk Reduction from Safety Seats Use. Saudi Medical Journal 1992;13(3):254-6.
- Pace BW, Thailer R, Kwiatkowski TG. New York State Seatbelt Law: Patterns of Seatbelt use before and after Legislation. Journal Trauma 1986;26:1031-3.
- 11. Mufti MH. Medico-legal Aspects of Seat Belt Legislation in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Medical Journal 1986; 7(1): 84-90.